

## SCRUTINY SCORECARD - - SUMMARY FOR MEMBERS

Quarter 1 2008/09

Members wishing to find out more information on the precise definitions and means of measurement of each PI should consult the explanatory notes which were agreed by O&S on 10 July 2007 and the supplementary alterations made at the Chairman's Meeting on 24 April 2008

Ref	Q/Annual	Ref name	07/08 Target	07/08 Actual	08/09 Target	Variance	Q1 Actual	Q2 Actual	Q3 Actual	Q4 Actual	Annual	Commentary
C1	Q	% of issues considering data from the Forward Plan	60%	2.6% - RED	30%	10% Variance	0% - RED	15% - RED				Again, too few items relate directly to the Forward Plan. This probably relates to two issues: 1) the FP itself often does not reflect the progress of decisions within the authority, and 2) where O&S has considered an FP item, it is only because it has been raised by other means.
C2	Q	% of issues considering data from scrutiny leads	60%	71.8% - GREEN	60%	10% Variance	55% - AMBER	50% - AMBER				
C3	Q	% of issues deriving directly from the corp S / PI function	50%	37.5% - RED	65%	10% Variance	60% - AMBER	70% - GREEN				A far larger number of issues last quarter considered matters relating to council improvement.
C4	A	% of WP items subjected to VFM test under Scr Principles	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	3% Variance						
C5	Q	% of comments to hits received at scrutiny website (as %)	13%	N/A	n/a							This indicator is being removed for 08/09
C6	A	% of findings reflecting cmnts made by local ppl	30%	27% - AMBER	30%	10% Variance						
C7	A	% of res panel with a "g" or "fg" knowldg of scrutiny	30%	N/A	30%	10% Variance						
PE1	A	% of offs cnsdrng scr's input into pol "useful"/"v useful".	100%	65% - RED	80%	5% Variance						
PE2	Q	Circulation of review info prior to publication	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	5% Variance	100% - GREEN	100% - GREEN				
PE3	A	% of offs cnsdrng opp to input into WP "useful"/"v useful"	100%	55% - RED	80%	5% Variance						
PE4	A	% of offs sat with scrutiny process overall	100%	90% - AMBER	100%	10% Variance						
PE5	Q	% of recs approved by cabinet	100%	50% - RED	100%	3% Variance	100% - GREEN	100% - GREEN				
R1	A	Delivery of scrutiny WP within budget (% budget spent)	100%	97% - GREEN	100%	10% Variance						
R2	A	Delivery of IDRs within resources (% of budget spent)	100%	N/A	n/a							This indicator is being removed for 08/09
R3	A	Completion of PM framework as required	100%	89.7% - RED	100%	3% Variance						
R4	A	% of reviews successfully monitored on a 0.5yr/1yr basis	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	5% Variance						
R5	A	Prop of revs dmnstrng signif pos imp on service revw'd	100%	N/A	100%	10% Variance						

PS1	Q	% of findings reflecting evidence received from ptrns	60%	63.7% - GREEN	70%	10% Variance	83% - GREEN	0% - RED			This surprising score is due to the fact that the only review reporting in Q2 was the Place Survey Challenge Panel, which only took evidence internally.
PS2	Q	% of meetings attended by co-optees where required	80%	64.6% - RED	80%	10% Variance	55.6% - RED	84.2% - GREEN			Co-optee attendance has improved significantly.
PS3	A	% of partners "satisfied" with scrutiny process	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	Variance					
PS4	Q	Ratio ext:int witnesses on relevant reviews (as %)	33%	61.2% - GREEN	60%	10% Variance	62% - GREEN	65% - GREEN			
PS5	Q	% of recs based on analysis of "bp" evidence	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	10% Variance	100% - GREEN	100% - GREEN			
PS6	Q	% of recs relating to ptrnship wkng, where appropriate	60%	93.3% - GREEN	80%	10% Variance	79% - AMBER	100% - GREEN			This actually relates to the Place Survey Challenge Panel, the only review to have been completed during Q2.
S1	Q	Reviews reporting at agreed times	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	3% Variance	100% - GREEN	100% - GREEN			
S2	Q	Rev gp agendas made available 5 days in adv of meeting	100%	87.4% - RED	90%	10% Variance	100% - GREEN	100% - GREEN			
S3	Q	Timely production of Harrow Scrutiny newsletter	100%	100% - GREEN	100%	5% Variance	0% - RED	100% - GREEN			
S4	Q	Info available on scrutiny website	100%	66.6% - RED	100%	10% Variance	100% - GREEN	90% - GREEN			Due to resource issues some information is now out of date; in particular issues relating to the voluntary sector review.
S5	Q	Review meetings attended by Members where required	100%	62.2% - RED	90%	10% Variance	68.4% - RED	83.5% - AMBER			Performance is improving - particularly in relation to attendance of voluntary sector meetings. However, the score has been brought down by poor attendance at meetings of the town centre review in the early summer.
S6	A	% of councillors "happy" with op of the scrutiny process	90%	80% - AMBER	90%	10% Variance					

#### RESULTS - Q4

Lower threshold: TEN

Middle threshold: THREE

Upper threshold: TWELVE

No data: FOUR